| (  | Case 1:14-cr-00164-WMS-JJM Document 81 Filed 12/08/16 Page 2 of 51                        |   |
|----|---|---|
|    |   | 2 |
|    |   |   |
| 1  | I N D E X   |   |
| 2  | WITNESS FOR THE GOVERNMENT  |   |
| 3  | Randy Garver  |   |
| 4  | Direct examination by Mr. Pimentel Page 7 Cross-examination by Mr. Comerford Page 19      |   |
| 5  | Redirect examination by Mr. Pimentel Page 31 Recross-examination by Mr. Comerford Page 33 |   |
| 6  | Redirect examination by Mr. Pimentel Page 36  |   |
| 7  |   |   |
| 8  |   |   |
| 9  |   |   |
| 10 | EXHIBIT RECEIVED  |   |
| 11 | Government 1 14   |   |
| 12 | Government 2 15   |   |
| 13 | Government 3 17   |   |
| 14 | Government 4 46   |   |
| 15 |   |   |
| 16 |   |   |
| 17 |   |   |
| 18 |   |   |
| 19 |   |   |
| 20 |   |   |
| 21 |   |   |
| 22 |   |   |
| 23 |   |   |
| 24 |   |   |
| 25 |   |   |
|    |   |   |

## 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 3 (WHEREUPON, the defendant is present). THE CLERK: All rise. 4 5 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Good morning. Please be seated. 6 THE CLERK: On the record, this is United States vs. 7 Ari Elias Baum, case number 14-CR-164. 8 9 For the Government, Frank Pimentel. 10 For the defendant, Brian Comerford, Fonda Kubiak, 11 Jeffrey Bagley and Martin Vogelbaum. 12 The defendant is present. 13 We are here for an evidentiary hearing, the 14 Honorable Jeremiah J. McCarthy presiding. 15 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Good morning, Mr. Baum. 16 Good morning, counsel. 17 MR. PIMENTEL: Good morning, Your Honor. 18 MS. KUBIAK: Good morning, Your Honor. 19 MR. COMERFORD: Good morning, Your Honor. 20 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Are we ready to proceed? 21 MR. PIMENTEL: We are, Your Honor. A couple of 22 preliminary issues -- well, one really. I anticipate calling 23 one witness and there are four exhibits. I've given copies to 24 counsel and to the -- to your courtroom deputy. 25 In the process of preparing for the hearing, I

- learned that there is one or more FBI 302's that were written 1 2 in conjunction with obtaining and executing the search 3 warrant. I learned that they are classified presently as 4 secret, which is an impediment to obtaining them and turning 5 them over at this time. With that said, I have a general description of 6 what the information therein is, to the extent it's not 7 classified, provided that to counsel and I think it's his 8 9 position that they are okay with proceeding today. 10 We will go through the process of getting the 11 documents declassified and then when we do that turn them 12 Obviously, if that results in defense requesting to 13 reopen the cross-examination, we wouldn't have any objection 14 to that, you know, provided that the grounds are reasonable, 15 but I don't anticipate that will happen from what I 16 understand. 17 So I wanted to --18 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: How long do you think 19 the process of getting them declassified will take? 20 MR. PIMENTEL: I understand it's at least several 21 weeks, but I don't know if Agent Garver has any more of an understanding on that than I do. 22 23 THE WITNESS: Typically what will occur --
  - MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Sir, could you just step up to the mic, please, so we have it on the record? And just

24

25

```
1
   state your name.
 2
                THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. My name is Randall E.
 3
   Garver, Special Agent with the FBI.
 4
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Okay.
 5
                THE WITNESS: Typically what will happen in these
   national security investigations is we'll either courier,
 6
   bring over all of the source reporting documents and the
 7
   FD-302's that are classified --
 8
 9
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Mm-hmm.
10
                THE WITNESS: -- to the U.S. Attorney's Office where
11
   they can view them and store them; or we can bring the
12
   Assistant U.S. Attorney to our office to view them and we
13
   store them.
14
               And what he can do then is make a determination
15
   what he wants, what he doesn't want. And then the FBI will go
16
   through a process where we submit those documents to the FBI's
17
   counterterrorism division who ultimately with a legal review
18
   determines with the DOJ folks as well obviously, sir, what can
19
   be declassified and then used in open court ultimately is the
20
   objective with that.
21
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Okay, thank you.
22
                THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
23
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Mr. Pimentel, you say
24
   you've given Mr. Comerford a summary of --
```

MR. PIMENTEL: Yes.

25

```
1
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Is that orally or
 2
   written or --
 3
               MR. PIMENTEL: No, orally. We discussed this issue
 4
   briefly before court today. It's, generally speaking, Judge,
 5
   it's my understanding that to the extent that any such
   documents are relevant to this -- the scope of this hearing,
 6
   they are essentially administrative in nature; I did this, I
 7
   did that sort of thing.
 8
 9
               So based on that, I don't anticipate that there
   would be anything substantive requiring this be reopened, but
10
11
   I wanted to alert the Court to it and I obviously wanted to
12
   alert counsel to it.
13
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: I appreciate that.
14
   Mr. Comerford, are you prepared to proceed in that fashion,
15
   reserving your rights?
               MR. COMERFORD: Yes, Judge. Provided that --
16
17
   provided that when they are declassified, they are turned
18
   over, we have an opportunity to review them. If there's some
19
   issue in there that we think needs to be reopened, we have an
20
   opportunity to do that.
21
               Based on what Mr. Pimentel and Agent Garver have
   told me this morning, I don't think there's anything there
22
23
   that would require reopening the hearing, but just to be
24
   thorough and do our jobs I would like to eventually look at
```

25

them.

1 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Okay, all right. 2 MR. COMERFORD: Thank you, Judge. 3 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Fair enough. 4 MR. PIMENTEL: That's it, Your Honor. 5 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Thanks for the head's up on that. Counsel, just so we're all on the same page here in 6 7 terms of what the scope of today's hearing is, I think that we discussed at our last proceeding on August 12th and then I 8 think my August 12th text order, which is Docket No. 73, sets 9 10 out what I view the scope of the hearing to be, correct? 11 MR. PIMENTEL: Yes, yes, Your Honor. 12 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: All right. And with that 13 we can proceed. 14 MR. PIMENTEL: Thank you, Your Honor. We call 15 Special Agent Randall E. Garver. 16 GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, RANDALL E. GARVER, SWORN 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 THE CLERK: Would you state your name for the record 19 and spell your first and last name? 20 THE WITNESS: Sure, my name is Randall E. Garver, 21 R-A-N-D-A-L-L, E, Garver, G-A-R-V-E-R. 22 THE CLERK: Thank you. 23 BY MR. PIMENTEL: 24 Good morning, Special Agent Garver. Could you tell the 25 Court where you are employed?

- 1 A. Sure, I'm a special agent with the Federal Bureau of
- 2 Investigation here in Buffalo.
- 3 Q. How long have you been a special agent with the FBI, sir?
- 4 A. Just over ten years.
- 5 Q. And going back to your education, your post high school
- 6 education, could you describe that for the Court?
- 7 A. Sure. I graduated high school and then attended Kansas
- 8 | State University for one year and then went to the United
- 9 | States Military Academy, graduated and was an Army officer
- 10 about five years.
- 11 Q. Okay. When did you graduate from West Point, sir?
- 12 A. 2000.
- 13 Q. And briefly which branch of the Army were you in?
- 14 A. I was an infantry officer.
- 15 Q. Served in Iraq?
- 16 A. Served in Kosovo and Iraq, yes, sir.
- 17 | O. When did you leave active duty?
- 18 A. July 1st, 2005.
- 19 Q. So it sounds like you did -- fulfilled your five year
- 20 commitment?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And then you became an agent in --
- 23 | A. May in 2006.
- 24 Q. What have your assignments been with the FBI?
- 25 A. I was in Cleveland from 2006 to 2009, worked on a Gang and

- 1 Drug Task Force there. Then I went to the Washington field
- 2 office and worked on a Gang Task Force before being moved over
- 3 to extraterritorial crimes for Iraq and Afghanistan.
- 4 After that I came to Buffalo in February 2013, was
- 5 on the Joint Terrorism Task Force for just under two years and
- 6 | since January of 2015, I've been on the Child Exploitation
- 7 Task Force here in Buffalo.
- 8 Q. Your experience with being the affiant on search warrants,
- 9 can you describe that for the Court?
- 10 A. Sure. I've sworn out numerous search warrants for
- 11 everything from vehicles to locations, homes, Facebook pages,
- 12 e-mail accounts. So I've done a couple of them on national
- 13 security investigations and quite a few on drug violations and
- 14 | child exploitation violations.
- 15 Q. Some time in -- directing your attention then to 2013, did
- 16 | an individual named Ari Baum come to your attention in your
- 17 professional capacity?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. How did that come about?
- 20 A. I was assigned what we call a "guardian lead," which
- 21 entailed an individual in Buffalo, New York making a reference
- 22 to the FBI. And that came to my desk, it essentially said
- 23 | investigate what's alleged.
- 24 | O. And what was the -- what was the nature of the concern?
- 25 A. There were two individuals located in Buffalo who advised

- 1 | that there were statements and things said that they were
- 2 | concerned with, and ultimately led them to contact the FBI
- 3 | to -- to -- to provide us that information.
- 4 Q. Did you at some point alert the U.S. Attorney's Office?
- 5 A. We did eventually, yes.
- 6 Q. All right. How did that come about?
- 7 A. So for several months we continued to speak to --
- 8 obviously looked through FBI files and found out the San
- 9 Francisco division had investigated Mr. Baum as well; and then
- 10 | we spoke to a number of other individuals here in Buffalo who
- 11 provided us information that corroborated what the first two
- 12 | individuals told us.
- 13 And began looking at his Facebook pages -- or
- 14 Mr. Baum's Facebook page and ultimately when we thought we had
- 15 enough to search those pages, we provided our -- the results
- 16 of our investigation thus far to the U.S. Attorney's Office.
- 17 Q. So it was at the point -- do I understand correctly then
- 18 | it was at the point where you were desiring to obtain a search
- 19 warrant, that's about when you looped in the U.S. Attorney's
- 20 Office?
- 21 | A. I think they were up to speed preliminarily before that,
- 22 | but not -- not too much, but it was ultimately said I think
- 23 | we're going to want to search these accounts, we started
- 24 getting Mr. Campana on board and understanding what we have
- 25 and what we need to do.

- 1 Q. And when you say "Mr. Campana," you're referring to Paul
- 2 Campana, who was an AUSA?
- 3 A. That's right, yes, sir.
- 4 Q. Was he the head of the national security section at the
- 5 U.S. Attorney's Office at the time, do you know?
- 6 A. I don't know if he was the head. He was certainly one of
- 7 | the national security prosecutors that was assigned to this
- 8 investigation.
- 9 Q. Okay. And Mr. Campana is now retired?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. So you -- did you have a meeting with Mr. Campana then in
- 12 | conjunction with the attempts to obtain a search warrant?
- 13 A. Yes, we did.
- 14 Q. And did you have more than one?
- 15 A. I think there were at least two meetings and both in his
- 16 office, and then at the FBI following a case meeting that the
- 17 U.S. Attorney's Office attended there. We sat down with him
- 18 and discussed what we need to do; if we're gonna be able to
- 19 search these accounts, what -- essentially what the FBI needed
- 20 to be able to do here, what we need to show to make that
- 21 happen.
- 22 Q. So he advised you on that process?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. All right. And what did you do as a result of his advice?
- 25 A. Well, we got -- we got more into looking at the two

- 1 | Facebook pages that were the subject of the affidavit and
- 2 attempting to show that there's probable cause for that
- 3 search.
- 4 | Q. When you say "looking at the Facebook pages," was --
- 5 was -- do you actually mean that you were looking at certain
- 6 material on Facebook?
- 7 A. Yes. The accounts were open at that time, meaning you can
- 8 just go to the page and view what the posts are.
- 9 Q. All right. And this was -- you're referring to Ari Baum's
- 10 | account?
- 11 A. That's one account, yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. So -- so you gathered information and then did you
- 13 reduce this to a draft affidavit?
- 14 A. We did, yes.
- 15 Q. All right. And what did you do with the draft affidavit?
- 16  $\mid$  A. Once I thought we had an affidavit that was in order, I
- 17 | would have provided that to Mr. Campana of the U.S. Attorney's
- 18 Office who -- I can't remember if he made additional revisions
- 19 once that affidavit was sent, but ultimately that -- he told
- 20 | me he agreed that we had probable cause and decided that we
- 21 | would submit it to the Court.
- 22 Q. So then did he, to your understanding, submit the
- 23 | affidavit with an application to the Court?
- 24 A. Yes, he did, yes.
- 25 Q. All right. If you would refer to Government's Exhibit 1,

- 1 | which is sitting on the desk in front of you --
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 Q. -- do you see that document?
- 4 A. I do, yes, the application.
- 5 Q. If you could just briefly flip through that?
- 6 A. Right. This is the application for the search warrant as
- 7 | well as the affidavit.
- 8 Q. All right. And the affidavit was sworn out by you?
- 9 A. Yes, sir, yup.
- 10 Q. So this was the affidavit -- and then if you would look at
- 11 | the last three pages of the document?
- 12 A. Sure.
- 13 Q. You see that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Attachment A and Attachment B, do you recall who drafted
- 16 | those?
- 17 A. I drafted these and I remember having a conversation with
- 18 Mr. Campana about them, but I'm not sure if he made revisions
- 19 or not. I remember going through what should be on
- 20 particularly Attachment A.
- 21 Q. Okay. So you submitted all of this then, the affidavit and
- 22 | the attachments, the proposed attachments, to Mr. Campana and
- 23 after some revisions and then he submitted them to the Court;
- 24 | is that your understanding?
- 25 A. That's right, yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. And then you obtained a time to meet with the
- 2 judge?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And so you and Mr. Campana went and met with Magistrate
- 5 Judge Schroeder?
- 6 A. That's correct, yes.
- 7 Q. And you signed the affidavit in the presence of Magistrate
- 8 Judge Schroeder?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 0. And that was on November 21st of 2013?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 MR. PIMENTEL: Your Honor, at this time I would move
- 14 | in to evidence Government's Exhibit 1.
- MR. COMERFORD: No objection, Judge.
- 16 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Okay, Government's
- 17 Exhibit 1 is in evidence.
- 18 (WHEREUPON, Government Exhibit 1 was received in a
- 19 | evidence).
- 20 BY MR. PIMENTEL:
- 21 Q. And then as a result of that, was it your understanding
- 22 that Mr. Campana had, with your application or with your
- 23 affidavit, submitted a proposed search warrant along with the
- 24 application to Magistrate Judge Schroeder?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. And would that be Government's Exhibit 2?
- 2 A. Yes, it would.
- 3 Q. All right. And Magistrate Judge Schroeder, did he sign
- 4 | that in your presence to your recollection?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. In fact, if you would look at the third and fourth pages
- 7 of Government's Exhibit 2, do you see where there is an X with
- 8 some initials and a date?
- 9 A. Yes, I do.
- 10 Q. All right. And does that -- did Magistrate Judge
- 11 | Schroeder do that in your presence?
- 12 A. Yes, he did.
- 13 Q. Okay. So Government's Exhibit 2 then, would that be the
- 14 | warrant that was issued?
- 15 A. Yes, it is.
- 16 Q. All right.
- 17 MR. PIMENTEL: Judge, I would move into evidence
- 18 Government's Exhibit 2.
- 19 MR. COMERFORD: No objection.
- 20 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Government Exhibit 2 is
- 21 in evidence.
- 22 (WHEREUPON, Government Exhibit 2 was received into
- 23 | evidence).
- 24 BY MR. PIMENTEL:
- 25 Q. Now, after -- so this was on November 21st of 2013, that

- 1 | he -- that Magistrate Judge Schroeder signed the warrant?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. All right. Did it come to your attention subsequently
- 4 | that -- or had you discussed with Mr. Campana the need to
- 5 preclude Facebook from disclosing the existence of the search
- 6 | warrant to Mr. Baum?
- 7 A. Yes, we did.
- 8 Q. All right. And could you tell the Court about that
- 9 discussion?
- 10 A. Well, it's the understanding of myself and the FBI that
- 11 | when giving -- given Government process, Facebook will
- 12 immediately notify or at some point will notify individuals
- 13 that the FBI served a search warrant or served a grand jury
- 14 subpoena upon Facebook for their user or their customer's
- 15 information.
- 16 If that were to occur in this case, we were
- 17 concerned it would compromise the existence of the
- 18 | investigation and ultimately what -- we're gaining information
- 19 about information that greatly concerned the FBI in Western
- 20 New York.
- 21 So should -- the FBI essentially would lose a
- 22 | collection platform, something we were getting information
- 23 | from, if they were to immediately notify the user that we
- 24 | served a grand jury -- correction, served a search warrant
- 25 upon them.

- 1 Q. So did Mr. Campana have a potential remedy to prevent that
- 2 from happening?
- 3 A. Well, he told me we could apply for and seek a court order
- 4 | that would prevent that.
- 5 Q. All right. If you look at Government's Exhibit 3, do you
- 6 recognize that document?
- 7 A. I do.
- 8 0. And what is this?
- 9 A. It's an application for an order commanding Facebook not
- 10 to notify any person of the existence of the search warrant.
- 11 Q. And would you look at page 3, the third page of that
- 12 document? Who signed that document?
- 13 A. AUSA Paul Campana.
- 14 Q. All right. And then attached to the document, that is the
- 15 | last four pages of the document, what is that?
- 16 A. That's the search warrant.
- 17 Q. All right. And was it your understanding that Mr. Campana
- 18 | submitted this to Magistrate Judge Schroeder?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 MR. PIMENTEL: Judge, I would move in Government's
- 21 Exhibit 3.
- MR. COMERFORD: No objection.
- 23 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: All right, Government
- 24 Exhibit 3 is in evidence.
- 25 (WHEREUPON, Government Exhibit 3 was received into

- 1 | evidence).
- 2 BY MR. PIMENTEL:
- 3 Q. And then did Magistrate Judge Schroeder issue an order
- 4 after submission of that application -- of the Government's
- 5 Exhibit 3 application?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | O. Would that be Government's Exhibit 4?
- 8 A. Yes, it is.
- 9 Q. All right. Now, you obtained a copy of this Government's
- 10 Exhibit 4, did you not?
- 11 A. We did, yes.
- 12 Q. All right. And -- and when you had obtained the order and
- 13 the search warrant, what did you do with those documents
- 14 | vis-a-vis Facebook?
- 15 A. These get served directly to Facebook. So ultimately
- 16 | we -- ultimately these, the search warrant as well as these --
- 17 the court orders get sent to Facebook.
- 18 So now Facebook has a law enforcement system where
- 19 you upload it directly electronically. I don't recall from
- 20 2013, but for some reason I remember faxing it to them. They
- 21 | may have been taking it by fax then, but -- so either we faxed
- 22 | it to them or we uploaded it.
- 23 But we found out whatever the process was that
- 24 | Facebook receives legal process and adhered to however they
- 25 wanted to get it.

- 1 | Q. And you oversaw the process in making sure that these
- 2 documents got to Facebook?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Okay. Special Agent Garver, did you go to law school?
- 5 A. No, no.
- 6 Q. You had some legal training at the Academy; fair to say?
- 7 Or at the FBI Academy?
- 8 A. Some, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. You're not a lawyer?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. You rely on Assistant U.S. Attorneys for your legal
- 12 | advice; is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. All right. Did you have any basis to believe that there
- 15 was anything wrong with the search warrant in this case?
- 16 A. No, absolutely not.
- 17 MR. PIMENTEL: No further questions.
- 18 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Thank you.
- 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 20 BY MR. COMERFORD:
- 21 Q. Good morning, Agent Garver.
- 22 A. Good morning, sir.
- 23 Q. I want to look at Government Exhibit 1. Do you have that
- 24 | in front of you still?
- 25 A. I do, yes.

- 1 Q. And I can put it up on the ELMO here if that's
- 2 (inaudible).
- 3 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: You may be over teching
- 4 | it, Mr. Comerford.
- 5 MR. COMERFORD: I'm sorry, Judge.
- 6 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: That's all right, but we
- 7 all have hard copies here, but whatever.
- 8 MR. COMERFORD: You do? Okay.
- 9 BY MR. COMERFORD:
- 10 Q. You said that you drafted this Attachment A?
- 11 | A. Yes. I know I initially drafted it. I'm not sure if
- 12 Mr. Campana had revisions.
- So usually what I'll do and I did in this case is I
- 14 | submit the affidavit along with the Attachment A and
- 15 Attachment B and I go through it.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. But ultimately, as often happens, the AUSAs will say I
- 18 | don't like this and change this and typically I just don't
- 19 argue with them on any of that stuff. I say however you like
- 20 to do it we'll do it.
- 21 Q. Okay. And what's the -- can you describe at all the
- 22 process for when you get the information from Facebook, did
- 23 | you review it?
- 24 A. I reviewed it as well as some of the intelligence analysts
- 25 and other FBI agents working on this case.

- 1 Q. Okay. And what -- what would you say was the timeframe for
- 2 doing that, if you could estimate?
- 3 A. Well, we received the return -- I'd have to look back in
- 4 the case file as to day, but Facebook is fairly timely. And
- 5 | within the next -- I remember it was a large return. I mean,
- 6 when those come in, they're electronic documents and they
- 7 are -- it's, you know, it's all the chats and the contents of
- 8 | the chats and the pictures.
- 9 So I'd hesitate to put a timeframe on how long it
- 10 took for the analysts and myself to go through it, but a
- 11 period of months I would say is an estimate.
- 12 Q. A period of months. And that's to review, as you said,
- 13 all of the chats and the pictures. In your experience, what
- 14 are the sorts of things that Facebook turns over when you send
- 15 | them this sort of a request?
- 16 A. They will send -- they will go from the timeframe that's
- 17 requested and that's authorized by the Court, what's listed in
- 18 Attachment A.
- So on this one when we asked for the photographs
- 20 and we asked for the posting user ID and we asked for the
- 21 | contents of the chats and things he liked or things he
- 22 reposted, all of that kind of stuff, they develop or they will
- 23 | send us that in electronic document that then can be -- it's
- 24 | actually fairly user friendly. You can search for specific
- 25 terms and search for specific users on there.

- But that's what they will give you, but it will
- 2 only encompass that timeframe that the judge authorized.
- 3 Q. So you're saying that basically the time -- you asked
- 4 | the -- you asked Facebook for a certain date to a certain date
- 5 and that's what they provided you?
- 6 A. Right, yes, sir. Like on this one, March 1st, 2013, so
- 7 | they didn't give us communications from 2010 or 2011.
- 8 Q. Okay. Would you say it's still a fairly large document
- 9 | they provide you?
- 10 A. It is a large document, sure, I would agree with that.
- 11 Q. Because if it takes a couple months to review?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. For several people to review?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And you're reviewing it to see whether there's evidence of
- 16 | material support of terrorism?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Yes. This -- looking back at Attachment A, if you look at
- 19 paragraph (a) on the first page and then paragraph (b) on the
- 20 second page, is it safe to say that there's like a
- 21 | two-step process here?
- 22 A. You mean by isolating those pages --
- 23 | Q. Yes.
- $24 \mid A$ . -- and then -- yes.
- 25 Q. Can you describe that at all?

- 1 A. I don't know how they necessarily do that, but essentially
- 2 | my understanding from -- from dealing with Facebook and other
- 3 communication providers like that is they -- they're able
- 4 to -- by "isolate" it means take only the contents of that
- 5 account and no one else's and then put that onto some sort of
- 6 usable format, a PDF file, sometimes they give us something on
- 7 a DVD and then they send just that.
- 8 So essentially you're -- you're getting only --
- 9 basically you're getting exactly what the warrant authorizes,
- 10 but you're not going to get more.
- 11 Q. Okay. So Facebook isolates it, provides it to the FBI?
- 12 A. That's right, yeah, we don't do that.
- 13 Q. And then paragraph (b) it says law enforcement, but it's
- 14 essentially the FBI here?
- 15 A. That's us.
- 16 | Q. Reviews the materials provided by Facebook, right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And at least the way this is written, the FBI doesn't
- 19 seize everything that's provided by Facebook? Only those
- 20 materials that would constitute providing material support to
- 21 terrorists?
- 22 A. Well, Facebook's not going to go through the account
- 23 before providing it to us. So if you request a certain
- 24 account for a certain timeframe and that's authorized by the
- 25 | judge, that's what you're getting.

- They're not going to go through and say well, that
- 2 | constitutes evidence of a crime, but this one doesn't. They
- 3 don't do that in any type of case. You're getting what's
- 4 called for and that's it.
- And it's up to the FBI then to go through and
- 6 determine well, I think that's evidentiary and that's
- 7 | something we want to show the U.S. Attorney's Office; or
- 8 that's something that's exculpatory, we should make sure
- 9 everyone knows that, too.
- 10 Q. And if -- is it everything then that the -- that the FBI
- 11 holds on to or seizes would you say? Everything that's
- 12 provided by Facebook?
- 13 A. Does that remain in our holdings?
- 14 | O. Yes.
- 15 A. Yes, it does.
- 16 Q. It does. And were you part of -- is there -- is there a
- 17 process when you go through these? You said if it's
- 18 | evidentiary, you make a note of it; if it's exculpatory, you
- 19 make a note of it.
- 20 What do you do if it's neither? If it has nothing
- 21 to do with providing material support to terrorists?
- 22 A. You mean if it's just communications amongst friends and
- 23 about TV shows or something that we don't think is relevant to
- 24 a case?
- 25 O. Yes.

- 1 A. We don't do anything with it. We don't mark it, we don't
- 2 document it. It just is there.
- 3 Q. But it's retained by the FBI?
- 4 | A. Oh, it is because it's all on one electronic document.
- 5 | There's, you know, a lot of times these things come to you as
- 6 | a PDF file so you can't just -- you can't purge parts or
- 7 redact parts. You get the whole document so...
- 8 Q. Were you part of this case when the FBI contacted Social
- 9 | Security?
- 10 A. No, I was not.
- 11 Q. So do you have any knowledge of when that took place?
- 12 A. From that -- from this still being a case in my squad, it
- 13 was reassigned to another agent, a colleague of mine, and I
- 14 remember the conversations about it, say, at squad meetings
- 15 and just in the area. Like hey, we're gonna look at it this
- 16 | way, okay.
- 17 But I didn't -- I mean, if you asked me when did
- 18 | you all contact, I don't know. I don't know when we contacted
- 19 the Social Security. I don't know what the findings were from
- 20 | Social Security.
- 21 | Q. Was that when you were meeting with Mr. Campana -- sorry.
- 22 After you received the information from Facebook,
- 23 | did you have more meetings with Mr. Campana about the case?
- 24 A. We met briefly just to say hey, we got it and we're going
- 25 | through it. I remember giving him some updates just about

- 1 some of the things we were finding.
- 2 But at that point we were still looking to see --
- 3 | it was real preliminary, it was, you know, this is hey, we do
- 4 have it and it was more of those types of conversations than
- 5 | substantive about what's evidentiary and what's not.
- 6 Q. And were any of those conversations about evidence of
- 7 | Social Security fraud or anything with Social Security?
- 8 A. I didn't have any conversations regarding any of that
- 9 stuff, any of the Social Security angle, I didn't even deal
- 10 with.
- 11 At some point, by "some point" within a month or
- 12 two months after receiving the Facebook return, the supervisor
- 13 over in the joint terrorism task force at the time said, hey,
- 14 | you're really working -- I had a different case, which is a
- 15 money laundering thing and he said hey, this is -- you need to
- 16 | just work this, we have other people that can do this, sit
- 17 | with them, get them up to speed, show them what you've done,
- 18 | show them where you think you're going. So that's what we
- 19 | did.
- 20 Q. Do you know approximately when that happened?
- 21 A. I'd be kind of guessing, but if you told me to put
- 22 | something on it, January 2014, roughly.
- 23 | O. Okay.
- 24 A. Within a month or even two months after this. It wasn't
- 25 | long after the search warrant. I mean, it wasn't the summer

- 1 of 2014, but it was -- it was fairly soon thereafter.
- 2 Q. Okay. And at that point you still got updates about it,
- 3 | but you weren't really involved in --
- 4 A. Just from squad meetings, yeah. So kind of go around and
- 5 everyone gets an understanding of who is working on what. So
- 6 | I would hear kind of what they're doing, but I didn't -- I
- 7 | wasn't making any decisions or reading any reports at that
- 8 point.
- 9 Q. In doing these -- have you ever done a -- I guess have
- 10 you -- do you know whether it's possible to ask Facebook for
- 11 | just communications between say Mr. Baum and certain other
- 12 | individuals?
- 13 A. I've had conversations with their legal response people
- 14 and they won't do it. They won't do it because they think
- 15 then they're acting as an agent of law enforcement. And we've
- 16 asked them to do that to isolate stuff on child exploitation
- 17 cases and we've done that to kind of reduce the amount of
- 18 | traffic we'll ultimately receive, you know, hey, we think this
- 19 guy is communicating with him, can we just get those
- 20 communications?
- 21 They won't -- they don't -- they won't hear of it.
- 22 | They will say no, now you're trying to get us to act as you're
- 23 agent, you get it or you don't get it.
- I've never dealt with that conversation on one of
- 25 these cases, but we have on child pornography cases, talked to

- 1 | their legal folks about that.
- 2 Q. So it's not something that basically Facebook's willing to
- 3 | do?
- 4 A. At least not from conversations with individual agents.
- 5 Maybe if somebody more senior and smarter legally than me
- 6 | could figure out a way to do that, they might, but...
- 7 | Q. It's not something that's -- at least it's not
- 8 | something -- you haven't given Facebook a search warrant that
- 9 says communications between one person and a couple other
- 10 people? It's always --
- 11 A. The contents of the page.
- 12 Q. The contents of everything?
- 13 A. Right.
- 14 |Q. With the idea being that you or the agency will go through
- 15 | it, identify those things that are relevant to your -- to your
- 16 | investigation?
- 17 A. Yes, that's right.
- 18 Q. When -- when you were reviewing this, there's one specific
- 19 conversation between Ari Baum and his father Bruce Baum on
- 20 Facebook. Are you familiar with what I'm talking about?
- 21 A. I did not see that from my review. I recall others
- 22 | telling me what they -- what they heard and what they read.
- 23 Q. And did that -- telling you specifically about that
- 24 | conversation?
- 25 A. I remember folks just -- not in an official capacity. I

- 1 remember guys in the squad area saying, hey, Randy, you know
- 2 | what we found on that? I said no.
- 3 And then they kind of -- I think the conversation
- 4 | alluding to or something, I remember guys telling me, but
- 5 | not -- again, not pursuant to my duties or anything. It
- 6 | wasn't like I was reviewing it, came across it and -- and had
- 7 other conversations about it, no.
- 8 Q. Okay. So you're not in any position to tell us how that
- 9 conversation, I guess, became part of the investigation?
- 10 A. No, I'm really not.
- 11 Q. I'm referring to the conversation, Judge, just so it's
- 12 clear for the record, where to paraphrase Ari Baum's account,
- 13 says something along the lines of I'm overseas and if Social
- 14 | Security knows I'm overseas they will turn off the benefits.
- That's the specific conversation we're talking
- 16 about.
- 17 A. Right.
- 18 Q. You didn't -- that didn't come up in your review?
- 19 A. No, I never -- I've never seen that from review or from --
- 20 | I just heard about it, people talking about it.
- 21 | Q. Okay. And that -- from what you heard people talking
- 22 about, there was no discussion that that conversation would be
- 23 evidence of material support to terrorism?
- 24 A. No, I didn't hear anything along those lines either.
- 25 | O. And there was no reason to believe that Ari's

- 1 | conversations with his father would have anything to do with
- 2 | material support to terrorism?
- 3 A. No, not to my knowledge.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 MR. COMERFORD: Judge, if I could just have one
- 6 second?
- 7 | MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: I'm sorry?
- 8 MR. COMERFORD: If I could just have one second?
- 9 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Sure.
- 10 BY MR. COMERFORD:
- 11 Q. Who was the agent who took the case over for you?
- 12 A. Special Agent Matt Hecker. And there was an intelligence
- 13 analyst that also was -- worked fairly in-depth on it.
- 14 Q. Do you know who that was?
- 15 A. Her name is Intelligence Analyst Betsy DuSheer, which I'm
- 16 going to have to pull the spelling out of my thumb for you.
- 17 Q. That's okay. And --
- 18 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Excuse me, could you
- 19 | spell Special Agent Matt -- what was his last name?
- THE WITNESS: Hecker, sir, so H-E-C-K-E-R.
- 21 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Thank you.
- 22 BY MR. COMERFORD:
- 23 Q. Ultimately as a result of this search warrant, nothing
- 24 came up in the -- from the Facebook warrant or from what was
- 25 turned over by Facebook that provided evidence that he was

- 1 providing material support to terrorism?
- 2 A. Well, sir, I think ultimately, no, I don't think there was
- 3 anything that we would say this is superb evidence of material
- 4 support of terrorism. But I think if you look at the case in
- 5 | its entirety, you know, there were certainly concerns, valid
- 6 concerns at the FBI in Buffalo regarding what he was doing
- 7 overseas, who he was meeting with and then a refusal to answer
- 8 questions upon return to the United States.
- 9 You know, I think all of that led to us saying we
- 10 have to do something. And so certainly seeking the search
- 11 warrant to Facebook is part of just completing our duty as to
- 12 what the heck is going on here with this guy.
- But no, if you're saying what did you find and
- 14 where's the evidence that -- solid evidence of material
- 15 support, no, to my knowledge from when I was on the case we
- 16 didn't get something like that.
- 17 MR. COMERFORD: Thank you, Agent.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 19 MR. COMERFORD: Nothing further, Your Honor.
- 20 MR. PIMENTEL: Judge, I have brief redirect.
- 21 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Okay.

## 22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 23 BY MR. PIMENTEL:
- 24 Q. The -- Agent Garver, the conversation -- I guess the
- 25 | informal conversations that you referred to about the exchange

- 1 between Mr. Baum and his father, do you recall describing that
- 2 | for Mr. Comerford?
- 3 A. Yes, I remember just other agents and at squad meetings
- 4 and folks in the office saying, hey, you know what we found in
- 5 there? I said, no, what you'd get?
- And they said, oh, you know, he admits that he was
- 7 receiving certain benefits that he wasn't entitled to. I
- 8 said, oh.
- 9 Q. Now, that information was obtained as a result of this
- 10 warrant that we've been talking about?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Is that right?
- 13 A. Yes, that's right.
- 14 Q. So in the process of going through and doing Section B of
- 15 Attachment A to Exhibit 1 --
- 16 A. Right, yes, sir.
- 17 | O. -- that information was discovered; is that right?
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 MR. PIMENTEL: Thank you.
- 20 MR. COMERFORD: Judge, could we -- I would just -- I
- 21 | guess I'll do it on redirect. I want to object on the basis
- 22 that if he has personal knowledge of how that evidence was
- 23 obtained, but I can -- I think I can address it on -- one
- 24 question on redirect -- recross.
- 25 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Okay.

1 MR. COMERFORD: Thank you, Judge.

2 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

## 3 BY MR. COMERFORD:

- 4 Q. What Mr. Pimentel asked you, I think, was about basically
- 5 whether agents were complying with part B?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. Is that fair to say? And I believe you told us that you
- 8 reviewed some of the materials, but there were a number of
- 9 other people working on this?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. And that conversation with Ari's father wasn't one you
- 12 came up with?
- 13 A. That's right.
- 14 Q. So you don't personally know whether or not the agents,
- 15 the other people working on the case or the other analysts,
- 16 were necessarily complying with part B, particularly when they
- 17 came up with that conversation?
- 18 A. So the way I came across that conversation that we're
- 19 referring to here is just through secondhand, through guys in
- 20 the office, guys on the squad and girls on the squad working
- 21 | it now, that's right.
- 22 So I didn't -- I'm not the guy that was scrolling
- 23 through in the PDF file and discovered it and said, hey, I got
- 24 to call the AUSA, no.
- 25 Q. Right. So you can't say that whoever that person was was

- 1 | complying with paragraph (b) because it wasn't you?
- 2 A. I guess I don't understand the question, sir. I'm not
- 3 trying to be difficult.
- 4 Q. That's all right, let me rephrase it.
- 5 A. They're complying -- I guess, I mean, they know they have
- 6 | a search warrant that was obtained properly. So, I mean, we
- 7 do that all the time on search warrants.
- 8 If my co-worker goes and obtains a search warrant
- 9 for something in a house and I'm helping him with the
- 10 execution of the warrant, I discover something, I'm still
- 11 compliant with the search warrant.
- 12 I'm probably getting into a hypothetical I don't
- 13 need to be in, but I don't -- I don't...
- 14 Q. If the -- if part B says -- I'm quoting here, the
- 15 | information to be seized consists of communications of any
- 16 type -- you got that?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. -- (messages, videos, hyperlinks, photographs, et cetera),
- 19 that would constitute providing material support to
- 20 terrorists, that's the end of the quote. If someone you work
- 21 | with is operating under that -- that premise and they see a
- 22 conversation between Ari and his father that has nothing to do
- 23 | with providing material support to terrorists, do you have
- 24 personal knowledge that that other agent was complying with
- 25 part B when he seized that conversation or turned it over to

- 1 someone, or do you just not have knowledge of it?
- 2 A. I guess -- I guess I'd be speculating whether they have
- 3 knowledge of it. I mean --
- 4 Q. Do you know for sure that that agent had read
- 5 paragraph (b) and knew exactly what the terms were of the
- 6 | search warrant?
- 7 A. Well, I know I sat down with those folks when we discussed
- 8 | the case and said exactly what the Facebook search warrant was
- 9 for, what we were -- how we got the probable cause to search
- 10 | it and what we presented.
- But what they did with it after I was told by my
- 12 supervisor to go ahead and step off that case, no. So if
- 13 you're saying, Randy, do you have personal -- I don't have
- 14 personal knowledge, no, sir, I don't.
- 15 Q. Okay, thank you. That answers it.
- 16 A. I'm sorry to be so difficult with that. I wasn't trying
- 17 to...
- 18 MR. COMERFORD: Thank you.
- 19 MR. PIMENTEL: Judge, I'm going to clarify, if I
- 20 may?
- 21 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Let me just figure out
- 22 | what this is. This will now be re-redirect?
- 23 MR. PIMENTEL: Yes.
- 24 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Okay.
- 25 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. PIMENTEL:

1

- 2 Q. All right, Special Agent Garver, you just referred now to
- 3 sitting down with your colleagues and discussing the search
- 4 | warrant with them?
- 5 A. Sure, yeah.
- 6 Q. Could you recount that process for the Court?
- 7 A. Sure. I mean, from -- as to this case, it started we get
- 8 the search warrant return, there's a lot of material to go
- 9 through, and ultimately I had a different case that -- in
- 10 | Buffalo anyway, was considered a priority investigation with
- 11 | confidential human sources coming in from other field offices
- 12 and everything else.
- So there were a lot of moving parts kind of in
- 14 my -- in my world. So Greg Nelson, who is the supervisory
- 15 | special agent told me, and I remember he told -- there were a
- 16 | couple of us, it was after a meeting, he said here's what
- 17 | we're gonna do, he says I want Randy to step off and give a
- 18 good hand over to these folks, let them know exactly where
- 19 | we're going.
- 20 But at that point there was -- there was no
- 21 discussion at that point about anything regarding Social
- 22 | Security or Government benefits. I sat with them, I said
- 23 | here's what we've got. We have this lead, we talked to these
- 24 people, we developed this information. I wrote the search
- 25 | warrant. We got it. Here's the return, we started going

- 1 through it. Betsy, the analyst, has really gone through it
- 2 | significantly and we have -- this is kind of where it sits.
- But, no, I mean, if the question is about Social
- 4 | Security fraud or anything, no, there was no discussion of
- 5 that when --
- 6 Q. No, I guess I'm speaking -- I'm talking more generally,
- 7 | Special Agent Garver, with respect to complying with subpart
- 8 (b) of Attachment A. Well, can you read that out loud?
- 9 A. Sure. This says law enforcement personnel -- is that what
- 10 | you're talking about?
- 11 O. Yes.
- 12 A. Attachment B?
- 13 Q. Yes, Attachment A, paragraph (b).
- 14 A. Paragraph (b), law enforcement personnel will thereafter
- 15 review all information and records received from the Facebook
- 16 | Incorporated employees to determine the information to be
- 17 | seized by law enforcement personnel.
- 18 Q. Okay. Stop there. So that process then -- what's your
- 19 understanding of what that process requires?
- 20 A. That we search -- take a look at what they give us and
- 21 | make sure it's what is in the scope of the warrant. Take a
- 22 look --
- 23 Q. Everything that Facebook gives you?
- 24 A. Sure, but make sure you don't have stuff that is not the
- 25 | subject account. Make sure you have stuff that isn't from

- 1 | years and years ago because now we have a problem.
- 2 Q. Okay. In other words, that it conforms, that the material
- 3 | conforms --
- 4 A. With Attachment B.
- 5 Q. -- with paragraph (a)?
- 6 A. Well, conforms with paragraph (a) and in particular with
- 7 Attachment B.
- 8 0. Okay.
- 9 A. That what they give us is from the timeframe and of these
- 10 two guys' accounts. We don't get someone else's stuff or we
- 11 don't get outside the dates.
- 12 Q. Right. In fact, you referred in your testimony earlier to
- 13 the dates that Magistrate Judge Schroeder had confined the
- 14 | search to?
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 O. And where was that?
- 17 A. So on Attachment B we said from March 1st, 2013, until
- 18 present at the time of the warrant in November.
- 19 Q. Okay. So you -- so in the process of going through --
- 20 doing the work contemplated by paragraph (b) of Attachment A,
- 21 you're making sure that that material conforms to
- 22 Attachment B?
- 23 A. Yes.
- $24 \mid Q$ . And so the people that were reviewing the information,
- 25 | your colleagues that were reviewing the information, had been

- 1 provided a copy of the warrant?
- 2 A. Yes, yes.
- 3 Q. So they knew the direction as well?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. All right. And then as you're reviewing all the
- 6 information that Facebook gives you, you are then making a
- 7 | second determination as to what may comply or what may
- 8 | constitute evidence of material -- providing material support
- 9 to terrorists?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. Now, in the process as an agent, is it your understanding
- 12 | that if you see evidence of any other crimes you should take
- 13 any action?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And you're allowed to take action?
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. Based on the fact that you have the material pursuant to
- 18 | a -- what you presume to be a valid search warrant?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. You believe to be a valid search warrant?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. All right. So in the process of doing that, the
- 23 | information pertaining to Social Security was discovered?
- 24 A. Yes, that's my understanding from the conversations after
- 25 | I was no longer on the case.

```
Q. Well, is there -- is there -- Special Agent Garver, is there any other basis on which that material would have been
```

- 3 obtained?
- 4 A. No.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- MR. COMERFORD: Objection, Judge. I think he testified on recross that he doesn't have personal knowledge of what exactly the other analysts or agents were doing. I think he can make the assumption that that's what they were doing, but he can't -- he can't testify that he knows they were complying with paragraph (b).
- MR. PIMENTEL: Well, respectfully, that's not the question. The question isn't whether they were complying with paragraph (b).
  - It's whether the material that was being reviewed was obtained as a result of the Facebook search warrant.
  - of this discussion is more properly reserved for post-hearing argument. I think the agent has said he doesn't, if I understood him correctly -- and, sir, correct me if I'm wrong -- you don't have personal knowledge of how that information was discovered by another agent or investigator, correct?
- THE WITNESS: That's right, correct, yes, sir.

  MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: You just heard from
  somebody that it had been discovered, correct?

- 1 | THE WITNESS: Yes, from this warrant, that's
- 2 | correct, sir. Yes, Judge.
- 3 BY MR. PIMENTEL:
- 4 Q. Is there any other basis on which the FBI office in
- 5 Buffalo obtained Facebook records of Ari Baum?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. And you know that unequivocally?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So all of the information that was obtained from Facebook
- 10 by the FBI Buffalo office was obtained as a result of this
- 11 Facebook search warrant that you were the affiant on?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And there was no other basis to review that information
- 14 other than the search warrant?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 MR. PIMENTEL: No further questions.
- 17 MR. COMERFORD: Nothing further, Judge.
- 18 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Thank you, sir, you may
- 19 step down.
- 20 **THE WITNESS:** Yes, sir.
- 21 (WHEREUPON, the witness was excused).
- 22 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Anything further?
- MR. PIMENTEL: No.
- 24 | MR. COMERFORD: Judge, I think what got us to this
- 25 hearing was our concerns about how the search warrant

- 1 information was reviewed in the context of what these charges
- 2 are, and how this information was turned over to Social
- 3 Security.
- And I know Mr. Pimentel filed a memorandum about a
- 5 | month ago before we scheduled this hearing, indicating Agent
- 6 | Matt Hecker had contacted someone at Social Security I think
- 7 to have, you know, an understanding of how this material was
- 8 uncovered and how it was turned over to Social Security.
- 9 We should really hear from Agent Hecker just to
- 10 have a complete record on this.
- 11 MR. PIMENTEL: Judge, you know, I respect -- I
- 12 respect the position, but we are on a fishing expedition here.
- 13 | The text -- the Court's text order says that the scope of the
- 14 | hearing is to determine whether the agents acted reasonably in
- 15 relying on the November 21, 2013, search warrant.
- 16 We've established the process by which the search
- 17 | warrant was obtained. The search warrant, the application,
- 18 the U.S. Attorney's tacit endorsement of the search warrant is
- 19 all in evidence.
- I don't know how -- what Special Agent Hecker or
- 21 | Special Agent Torres from Social Security has to do with
- 22 reliance on the search warrant. The search warrant speaks for
- 23 | itself. The agent who obtained the search warrant just
- 24 | testified, subjected himself to cross-examination.
- 25 Agents who had nothing to do with obtaining the

search warrant or the material that was obtained as a result 1 2 of the search warrant were -- were -- I just can't see how 3 this is relevant to any sort of inquiry that the Court ought 4 to be doing. 5 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Well, all right. This agent testified about obtaining the warrant. There's also 6 7 testimony -- I'm reading from Attachment A, subparagraph (b) to the search warrant says law enforcement personnel will 8 9 thereafter review all information and records received from 10 the Facebook employees to determine the information to be 11 seized by law enforcement personnel. The information to be seized consists of 12 13 communications of any type (messages, videos, hyperlinks, 14 photographs, et cetera) that would constitute providing 15 material support to terrorists. 16

Now, this agent said he didn't seize that information, that exchange with his father. It's not clear whether he even saw it. I don't know.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But it seems to me that there still is an issue of whether that information -- that exchange would have been considered by somebody to be evidence of providing material support to terrorists.

MR. PIMENTEL: But, Judge, that's not -- in a Leon hearing, that's not the issue. The issue is not whether the material is evidence of providing material support to

```
terrorism.
1
 2
                It's whether the warrant was valid and whether
 3
   the --
 4
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: But I think part of the
 5
   Leon issue is whether the agents reasonably believed they were
   complying with the warrant in their search, correct?
 6
 7
               MR. COMERFORD: I think so, Judge.
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: I thought you'd think
 8
9
   so, but I'm asking Mr. Pimentel.
10
               MR. COMERFORD: I thought you were looking at me,
11
   Judge, I apologize.
12
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: No, no, that's all
13
   right.
14
               MR. PIMENTEL: Your Honor, I guess I can have a
15
   parade of agents go up there and testify that I understood the
   warrant was valid and I -- and I, you know, saw this
16
17
   information as a result of doing what the warrant required me
18
   to do, which is reviewing all of the information that was
19
   obtained.
20
               They have to -- they were authorized to review all
   of the information that was obtained. In the process of doing
21
   that, they came across this evidence of another crime.
22
```

25 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: The warrant says the

That's -- I don't understand why that's so

23

24

complicated.

```
only information that can be seized is information that would
1
 2
   constitute providing material support to terrorists.
 3
               MR. PIMENTEL: But it's plain view at that point,
 4
   Your Honor. It's -- it's evidence that they obtained doing
 5
   what the warrant required them to do, which is review the
   information. That's a plain view issue at that point.
 6
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Mr. Comerford.
 7
               MR. PIMENTEL: I don't even think we get to good
 8
9
   faith, but --
10
               MR. COMERFORD: I think maybe we just take it up in
11
   arguing this. I believe the Government has a burden to meet
12
   going forward and they've put on their witness, they can make
13
   their argument.
14
               We don't have any witnesses.
15
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: All right, yeah, I think
16
   at this point I'll hear what the parties have to offer by way
17
   of post-hearing argument and we'll see where we go.
18
               Now, just for the record, according to my notes,
19
   Government Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 are in evidence.
20
               Government Exhibit 4, which is the non-disclosure
21
   order, was marked for identification, but it was not offered
   in evidence and I don't know that it's directly relevant to
22
23
   the hearing anyway so...
24
               MR. PIMENTEL: I'll move it in.
```

MR. COMERFORD: No objection.

```
1
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: All right, then
 2
   Government Exhibit 4 is also in.
 3
                (WHEREUPON, Government Exhibit 4 was received into
 4
   evidence).
 5
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: All right.
               MR. PIMENTEL: Judge, I guess to be clear, I'm happy
 6
 7
   to put on more -- more witnesses -- well, not happy about it.
   I will do it if there's -- but I don't even -- I don't -- I
 8
 9
   guess I feel like the goal post is moving, I'm chasing my tail
10
   and I'm not really sure what I'm supposed to be doing, what
11
   the burden of proof here is.
12
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Honestly, I want to
   think about it a little more, too. I guess what I would
13
14
   suggest, counsel, before we formally close the hearing is that
15
   you both give me, and it can be letter briefs, just in the
16
   near future, you don't have to wait for the transcript or
17
   anything I don't think, the issue of whether any further
18
   testimony is relevant to this.
19
                I understand your position, Mr. Pimentel. And,
20
   Mr. Comerford, I think I understand your position. But just
21
   so nobody is sandbagged at the end of the day, let's just air
   that out a little bit and then I'll decide whether the hearing
22
23
   needs to continue or not, okay?
24
               MR. COMERFORD: Thank you, Judge.
25
```

MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Your position I

```
1
   understand, you're saying as long as they were looking at
 2
   information within the scope of the warrant. If they happened
 3
   on something that -- that they saw in the context of that
 4
   search, then whether it relates to the investigation which was
 5
   the subject of the warrant or not, they can use it.
                And you take a different view.
 6
 7
               MR. PIMENTEL: Well, certainly we're not making any
   argument -- and I hope this is plain -- that -- that the
 8
9
   Social Security -- the evidence of the Social Security fraud
10
   has nothing to do with providing material support to
11
   terrorism, we agree with that.
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: You concede that.
12
13
               MR. PIMENTEL: Yeah, sure.
14
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: I thought so.
15
               MR. PIMENTEL: Yeah. I mean, I've even talked to
16
   Mr. Comerford separately about, you know, at trial I don't
17
   anticipate those terms -- I don't anticipate those terms even
18
   coming up, you know, because that's not the scope of the case.
19
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Right.
20
               MR. PIMENTEL: We get that.
21
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Right, right.
               MR. PIMENTEL: But that doesn't vitiate the fact
22
23
   that we had a valid warrant. And it was obtained during the
24
   process of executing that warrant and I don't --
```

MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Mr. Pimentel , I

```
1
   understand where you're coming from. I just think it makes
 2
   sense to have --
 3
               MR. PIMENTEL: Sure.
 4
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: -- just some quick
 5
   briefing on that issue. You tell me when -- when you -- how
   much time you need to do that.
 6
               As to whether we need any additional witnesses,
 7
   Mr. Pimentel has indicated he'll make them available, if
 8
9
   necessary.
10
               And then if we decide not, we can close the hearing
11
   and you can do your -- your post-hearing briefs. Or the
12
   alternative, I guess, is that -- I mean, we can close the
13
   hearing now, you can get the transcript, do your post-hearing
14
   briefs and in the course of that you can argue that -- either
15
   that further evidence is or is not necessary or that absent
16
   that evidence, Mr. Comerford, you could argue that the
17
   Government hasn't satisfied its burden of proof, but
18
   Mr. Pimentel has offered, if necessary, to make the other
19
   agents available.
20
                So I'll go whichever way the parties wish to go,
21
   but I think --
               MR. PIMENTEL: I'd prefer the former, Judge.
22
23
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Okay.
24
               MR. PIMENTEL: I'd like the Court to -- I mean, no
```

problem from the Government's perspective submitting , you

```
1
   know, I guess what -- where we think we are.
 2
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Yeah, yeah, all right.
 3
               MR. PIMENTEL: And advocating why we don't think a
 4
   hearing is necessary, but if the Court thinks that there are
 5
   further --
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: You mean a continuation
 6
 7
   of the hearing?
               MR. PIMENTEL: Yeah, that's what I mean.
 8
 9
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Okay.
10
               MR. PIMENTEL: You know, if the Court believes
   otherwise, we'll certainly comply with that and --
11
12
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: All right, okay.
                                                             So how
13
   much time do the parties need to just brief that limited
14
   issue? And it can be by letter brief or whatever.
15
               MR. PIMENTEL: Let's see, what's today? Can we have
16
   three weeks, Judge?
17
               MR. COMERFORD: That's fine.
18
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Simultaneous exchange?
19
               MR. PIMENTEL: Sure.
20
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: All right. And then what
21
   we'll do is I'll give you a date when we come back just to
   wrap things up, then I'll either say the hearing should be
22
23
   continued or it doesn't need to be continued.
24
                And if that's the case, then you can order your
25
   transcript and do your final post-hearing briefing to the
```

```
1
   extent you consider it necessary, or maybe you'll say, hey,
 2
   this is the ballgame, but I'll give you that opportunity at
 3
   least.
 4
               MR. PIMENTEL: Okay.
 5
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Okay, so then three
   weeks -- today is September 27th. So October 18th if you'll
 6
   have those briefs exchanged?
 7
               And then I will -- I'll give you a date we'll
 8
9
   reconvene just to address that issue. I said October 18th.
10
   How about --
11
               MR. COMERFORD: Judge, Ms. Kubiak and I have a trial
12
   October 27th. So if we could get it before that?
13
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: How about Monday,
   October 24th?
14
15
               MR. COMERFORD: Works for me, Judge.
16
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Wait a second, no, no,
17
   no, that may not work for me.
18
               How about Tuesday, October 25th at 9:30?
                                                           I have a
   hearing starting at 10, but that should give us enough time.
19
20
               MR. PIMENTEL: And that will be for status?
21
               MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Yeah, a status and at
   that point I'll advise the parties whether additional
22
23
   witnesses should be called. And if so, we'll schedule that.
24
               MR. PIMENTEL: All right.
```

MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: All right, counsel,

since the motion remains pending, would you please confirm 1 2 that on that basis time remains excluded through at least 3 October 25th, 2016, from the Speedy Trial Act calendar? MR. PIMENTEL: Yes, Your Honor. 4 5 MR. COMERFORD: We do. MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Okay, thank you. 6 7 Anything further --MR. PIMENTEL: Thank you. 8 9 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: -- to address today? 10 MR. COMERFORD: No, Your Honor. 11 MR. PIMENTEL: No. 12 MAGISTRATE JUDGE MCCARTHY: Okay, thank you, all. 13 (WHEREUPON, the proceedings adjourned at 10:36 a.m.) 14 15 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER 16 17 In accordance with 28, U.S.C., 753(b), I certify that 18 this is a true and correct record of proceedings from the 19 official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the 20 United States District Court for the Western District of New 21 York before the Honorable Jeremiah J. McCarthy on September 27th, 2016. 22 23 24 S/ Christi A. Macri 25 Christi A. Macri, FAPR-CRR Official Court Reporter